

*Key*

**Problem 1.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $u, v \in \mathcal{V}$ . Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be an inner product on  $\mathcal{V}$ . Prove that  $\langle u, v \rangle \langle v, u \rangle = \langle u, u \rangle \langle v, v \rangle$  if and only if the vectors  $u$  and  $v$  are linearly dependent.

**Problem 2.** Assume:

- (a)  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- (b)  $\mathcal{V}$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ ,
- (c)  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$ ,
- (d)  $\mathcal{W}$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{V}$  which is invariant under  $T$ ,
- (e)  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathcal{V}$ ,
- (f)  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  are mutually distinct scalars in  $\mathbb{F}$ ,
- (g)  $Tv_j = \lambda_j v_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .

Prove the following implication: If  $v_1 + \dots + v_k \in \mathcal{W}$ , then  $v_j \in \mathcal{W}$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ .

**Problem 3.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a finite dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be a positive definite inner product on  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbb{F})$  be the space of all linear functionals on  $\mathcal{V}$ . Define the mapping

$$\Phi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathbb{F})$$

by the formula

$$(\Phi v)(u) = \langle u, v \rangle \quad \text{for each } u \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Here  $v$  is an arbitrary vector in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Prove that  $\Phi$  is a bijection.

**Problem 4.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a finite dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be an inner product on  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $u, v \in \mathcal{V}$ . Prove that  $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$  if and only if

$$\|u\| \leq \|u + \alpha v\| \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{F}.$$

(One direction is easy. The other direction is easier if you assume  $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . This will earn you partial credit.)

**Problem 5.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a finite dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be an inner product on  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $T : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  be a self-adjoint mapping. Prove the following implications.

- (a) If  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  are such that  $\alpha^2 < 4\beta$ , then  $T^2 + \alpha T + \beta I$  is an invertible mapping.
- (b) If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $T$  has an eigenvalue.

**Problem 6.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be finite-dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a subspace of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Set  $k = \dim \mathcal{U}$ ,  $m = \dim \mathcal{V}$  and  $n = \dim \mathcal{W}$ . For  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$  we define the mapping  $T|_{\mathcal{U}}$  (the restriction of  $T$  to  $\mathcal{U}$ ) by

$$(T|_{\mathcal{U}})x = Tx \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Clearly  $T|_{\mathcal{U}} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$  whenever  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ . Next, we define the mapping

$$\Psi : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W})$$

by

$$\Psi(T) = T|_{\mathcal{U}} \quad \text{for all } T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}).$$

Prove that  $\Psi$  is linear. (This is easy, but do it right.) Describe  $\mathcal{N}(\Psi)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\Psi)$ . Calculate  $\dim \mathcal{N}(\Psi)$  and  $\dim \mathcal{R}(\Psi)$ . Prove your claims.

**Problem 7.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a finite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Suppose  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$  be such that  $ST = TS$ . Then  $S$  and  $T$  have a common 1-dimensional invariant subspace  $\mathcal{W}$ , that is  $S$  and  $T$  have a common eigenvector  $w$ .

P1 Discover a universal identity. D/1

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\langle \langle u, u \rangle v - \langle v, u \rangle u, \langle u, u \rangle v - \langle v, u \rangle u \right\rangle \\
 &= \underbrace{\langle u, u \rangle^2}_{+ \langle u, u \rangle} \langle v, v \rangle - \langle v, u \rangle \langle u, v \rangle \underbrace{\langle u, u \rangle}_{+ \langle u, v \rangle} \\
 &+ \underbrace{\langle u, u \rangle}_{+ \langle u, v \rangle} \langle u, v \rangle \langle v, u \rangle - \langle v, u \rangle \langle u, v \rangle \underbrace{\langle u, u \rangle}_{+ \langle u, v \rangle} \\
 &= \langle u, u \rangle \left( \langle u, u \rangle \langle v, v \rangle - \langle v, u \rangle \langle u, v \rangle \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \cancel{\langle u, v \rangle \langle v, u \rangle} - \cancel{\langle v, u \rangle \langle u, v \rangle} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\| \langle u, u \rangle v - \langle v, u \rangle u \| =$$

Assume  $u \neq 0$   $\Rightarrow \|u\|^2 (\langle u, u \rangle \langle v, v \rangle - \langle v, u \rangle \langle u, v \rangle)$   
 Hence  $\langle u, u \rangle \langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle \langle u, v \rangle$  iff

$$\langle u, u \rangle v - \langle v, u \rangle u = 0$$

$\Rightarrow v, u$  linearly dependent

Assume lin. dep  $v = \lambda u$  so

$$\langle u, u \rangle \langle v, v \rangle = |\lambda|^2 \|u\|^4$$

$$\langle u, v \rangle \langle v, u \rangle = |\lambda|^2 \|u\|^4 \text{ so ok!}$$

P2

Name the statement  $P(k)$  2

$P(1)$  is trivially true.

Assume  $P(k)$ .

Let  $v_1 + \dots + v_k + v_{k+1} \in W$ .

Since  $W$  invariant under  $T$ .

$T(v_1 + \dots + v_{k+1}) \in W$

so  $\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_k v_k + \lambda_{k+1} v_{k+1} \in W$

But  $\lambda_{k+1} v_1 + \dots + \lambda_{k+1} v_k + \lambda_{k+1} v_{k+1} \in W$ ,

as well. Hence

$$\underbrace{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{k+1})v_1 + \dots + (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1})v_k}_{\neq 0} \in W \quad \underbrace{\lambda_{k+1}v_1 + \dots + \lambda_{k+1}v_k}_{\neq 0} \in W$$

eigenvector  
corresp. to  $\lambda_1$

eigenvector  
corresp. to  $\lambda_k$

Apply  $P(k)$  to this to conclude

$$\underbrace{(\lambda_j - \lambda_{k+1})v_j}_{\neq 0} \in W \quad j=1, \dots, k$$

Hence  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in W$

Since  $v_1 + \dots + v_k + v_{k+1} \in W$ , clearly  $v_{k+1} \in W$ .

P3

3

$\Phi$  is 1-to-1.

$$\Phi(v_1) = \Phi(v_2) \Rightarrow$$

$$\langle u, v_1 \rangle = \langle u, v_2 \rangle \quad \forall u \in V$$

$$\text{then } \langle u, v_1 - v_2 \rangle = 0 \quad \forall u \in V$$

Hence  $v_1 - v_2 = 0$ . This proves 1-to-1.

$\Phi$  is onto. Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be a NB for  $V$ . Let  $v = \overline{\varphi(e_1)} e_1 + \dots + \overline{\varphi(e_n)} e_n$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } (\Phi(v))(u) &= \langle u, v \rangle = \langle u, \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi(e_j) e_j \rangle \\ &= \varphi \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi(e_j) \langle u, e_j \rangle = \\ &= \varphi \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \langle u, e_j \rangle e_j \right) = \varphi(u). \end{aligned}$$

This holds for all  $u \in V$ . So

$\Phi(v) = \varphi$ . This proves onto.

(P4)

$v=0$  trivial - so assume  $v \neq 0$ .

Assume  $\|u\| \leq \|u+\alpha v\| \forall \alpha \in F$ . 41

Then

$$\|u\|^2 \leq \|u+\alpha v\|^2 \quad \forall \alpha \in F$$

Then

$$\alpha \langle v, u \rangle + \bar{\alpha} \langle u, v \rangle + |\alpha|^2 \langle v, v \rangle \geq 0$$

Set  $\lambda = t \langle u, v \rangle$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R} \cap F$  for all  $\alpha \in F$

then

$$t |\langle u, v \rangle|^2 + t |\langle u, v \rangle|^2 + t^2 |\langle u, v \rangle|^2 \langle v, v \rangle \geq 0$$

$$|\langle u, v \rangle|^2 (2t + \langle v, v \rangle t^2) \geq 0$$

$$\text{set } t = -\frac{1}{\langle u, v \rangle}$$

$$|\langle u, v \rangle|^2 \left( -\frac{2}{\langle u, v \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle u, v \rangle} \right) \geq 0$$

$$-\frac{|\langle u, v \rangle|^2}{\langle u, v \rangle} \geq 0.$$

Thus  $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ .

P5

Lemma If  $\langle Sv, v \rangle > 0$  5

①  $\forall v \in V \setminus \{0\}$  then  $S$  is invertible.

The contrapositive is CLEAR!

Let  $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$  and  $T = T^*$ .

$$\langle (T^2 + \alpha T + \beta I)v, v \rangle = \langle T^2 v, v \rangle + \alpha \langle Tv, v \rangle + \beta \|v\|^2$$

$$\text{#} \quad \langle \|Tv\|^2 - |\alpha| |Tv, v| \rangle + \beta \|v\|^2$$

$$\text{#} \quad \langle \|Tv\|^2 - |\alpha| \|Tv\| \|v\| + \beta \|v\|^2 \rangle$$

$$\text{CBS} \quad \langle \|Tv\|^2 - |\alpha| \|Tv\| \|v\| + \beta \|v\|^2 \rangle =$$

$$= \|v\|^2 \left( \underbrace{\left( \frac{\|Tv\|}{\|v\|} \right)^2 - |\alpha|}_{s} \underbrace{\frac{\|Tv\|}{\|v\|}}_{s} + \beta \right) =$$

$$= \|v\|^2 \left( s^2 - |\alpha| s + \beta \right) = \|v\|^2 \left( s^2 - |\alpha| s + \frac{|\alpha|^2}{4} - \frac{|\alpha|^2}{4} + \beta \right)$$

$$= \|v\|^2 \left( \left( s - \frac{|\alpha|}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{4\beta - |\alpha|^2}{4} \right) > 0.$$

Hence  $T^2 + \alpha T + \beta$  is invertible.

② .....

P6

By definition for  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(v, w)$  [6]

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi(S))x &= Sx & \forall x \in U \\ (\Psi(T))x &= Tx & \forall x \in U \end{aligned} \quad \text{⊗}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \cancel{x \in U} \quad (\Psi(\alpha S + \beta T))x &= (\alpha S + \beta T)x = \stackrel{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{def. of } \Psi}}{\alpha (\Psi(S))x + \beta (\Psi(T))x} = \stackrel{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text{lin. comb} \\ \text{of mappings}}}{\alpha \Psi(S) + \beta \Psi(T)} \\ &= \alpha Sx + \beta Tx = \\ &= \alpha (\Psi(S))x + \beta (\Psi(T))x \\ &= (\alpha \Psi(S) + \beta \Psi(T))x \end{aligned} \quad \text{⊗}$$

Hence  $\Psi(\alpha S + \beta T) = \alpha \Psi(S) + \beta \Psi(T)$ .

$$\mathcal{N}(\Psi) = \left\{ T \in \mathcal{L}(v, w) : U \subseteq \mathcal{N}(T) \right\}$$

$$T|_U = 0 \iff U \subseteq \mathcal{N}(T).$$

$R(\Psi) = \mathcal{L}(U, W)$ . To prove

this let  $Z \subseteq V$  be such that  
 $V = Z \oplus U$ . Let  $S \in \mathcal{L}(U, W)$

R Set

[7]

$Tv = Su$  whenever

$v = z + u$   
where  $z \in Z, u \in U$  are  
unique ~~eleme~~ vectors.

Then  $Thu = S$ , so  $\Psi(T) = S$ .

Thus  $\Psi$  is ONTO. □

We know

$$\dim L(v, w) = \dim N(\Psi) + \dim R(\Psi)$$

||  
m.n

dim(U, W)  
||

Hence  $\dim R(\Psi) = k.n$

$$\dim N(\Psi) = (m-k)n$$

P7

since  $F = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $S$  has 8 eigenvalues, say  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$U = \mathcal{N}(S - \lambda I) \neq \{0\}$$

$$u \in U \Leftrightarrow Su = \lambda u$$

But  $Tu = STu$  and

$$Tu = \lambda Tu.$$

thus  $(S - \lambda I)Tu = 0$ . Hence  $Tu \in U$   
that is  $TU \subseteq U$ . Thus  $T \in \mathcal{L}(U)$

$U \neq \{0\}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$   $\exists$  eigenvalue  
 $\mu$  for  $Tu$ . That is  $\exists y \in U$   
 $y \neq 0$  s.t.  $Ty = \mu y$ .

But  $y \in U$  so  $Sy = \lambda y$ . DONE! ♡