

Theorem 1. Let \mathcal{V} be a finite-dimensional vector space over a scalar field \mathbb{F} with $\dim \mathcal{V} = n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$ and assume that there exists a basis $\mathcal{B} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ of \mathcal{V} for which the matrix $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ is upper-triangular with diagonal entries a_{jj} where $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then T is not injective if and only if there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $a_{ii} = 0$.

Proof. Let

$$M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1j} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2j} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{jj} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix},$$

or, in English, the entries of the matrix $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ are $a_{kj} \in \mathbb{F}$ with $k, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $a_{kj} = 0$ whenever $k > j$. By the definition of the matrix $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T)$, this means that for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$Tv_j = \sum_{k=1}^j a_{kj} v_k. \quad (1)$$

We first prove the “if” part of the claim. Assume that there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $a_{ii} = 0$. Set

$$\mathcal{U} = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_i\}.$$

By (1), for every $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$ we have

$$Tv_j = \sum_{k=1}^j a_{kj} v_k = \sum_{k=1}^i a_{kj} v_k \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (2)$$

It follows from the preceding i equalities that for every $u \in \mathcal{U}$ we have $Tu \in \mathcal{U}$. Therefore, the restriction of T to \mathcal{U} , that is, the operator S defined by $Su = Tu$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}$ is an operator in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$.

Since $a_{ii} = 0$, the equalities in (2) read: for every $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$ we have

$$Sv_j = Tv_j = \sum_{k=1}^j a_{kj} v_k = \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} a_{kj} v_k \in \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\}.$$

Consequently, for every $u \in \mathcal{U}$ we have

$$Su = Tu \in \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\}.$$

Hence, $v_i \notin \text{ran}(S)$. That is, $\text{ran}(S) \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$, or equivalently $\dim \text{ran}(S) < \dim \mathcal{U}$. By the Nullity-Rank theorem, $\dim \text{nul}(S) = \dim \mathcal{U} - \dim \text{ran}(S) \geq 1$. Thus, $\text{nul}(S) \neq \{0_{\mathcal{V}}\}$. Let $u \in \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ be such that $u \neq 0_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $Su = 0_{\mathcal{V}}$. Since $Tu = Su = 0_{\mathcal{V}}$, it has been proven that T is not an injection.

Next we prove the “only if” part of the claim. Assume that T is not injective. It is convenient to introduce the following notation: for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ set

$$\mathcal{U}_j = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_j\}.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{U}_n = \mathcal{V}$ and, if $n > 1$, for all $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ we have $\mathcal{U}_{j-1} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}_j$. Since the vectors v_1, \dots, v_n are linearly independent, for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$\dim \mathcal{U}_j = j. \quad (3)$$

The equalities in (1) imply that for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$T\mathcal{U}_j \subseteq \mathcal{U}_j. \quad (4)$$

Since T is not injective, we have $\text{nul}(T) \neq \{0_{\mathcal{V}}\}$, that is $\dim \text{nul}(T) \geq 1$. By the Nullity-Rank theorem, $\dim \text{ran}(T) = n - \dim \text{nul}(T) < n$. Consequently, $\text{ran}(T) = T\mathcal{V} \subsetneq \mathcal{V}$. Since $\mathcal{U}_n = \mathcal{V}$, we also have $T\mathcal{U}_n \subsetneq \mathcal{U}_n$.

Consider the set

$$\mathbb{K} = \{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : T\mathcal{U}_j \subsetneq \mathcal{U}_j\}.$$

Since $T\mathcal{U}_n \subsetneq \mathcal{U}_n$, we have $n \in \mathbb{K}$. Hence, the set \mathbb{K} is a nonempty set of positive integers. By the Well-Ordering Axiom of Integers $\min \mathbb{K}$ exists. Set $m = \min \mathbb{K}$.

Case 1. $m = 1$. In this case $T\mathcal{U}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{U}_1$. Consequently, $\dim(T\mathcal{U}_1) < \dim(\mathcal{U}_1)$. Since $\dim \mathcal{U}_1 = 1$, we deduce that $\dim(T\mathcal{U}_1) = 0$. Thus $T\mathcal{U}_1 = \{0_{\mathcal{V}}\}$, so $Tv_1 = 0_{\mathcal{V}}$. Hence $C_{\mathcal{B}}(Tv_1) = [0 \cdots 0]^{\top}$ and so $a_{11} = 0$.

Case 2. $m \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. Then $m - 1 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. By the definition of minimum, we have that $m - 1 \notin \mathbb{K}$. Consequently,

$$T\mathcal{U}_{m-1} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}_{m-1} \quad \text{is not true.}$$

By (4), we have $T\mathcal{U}_{m-1} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{m-1}$. The last inclusion is equivalent to

$$T\mathcal{U}_{m-1} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}_{m-1} \quad \vee \quad T\mathcal{U}_{m-1} = \mathcal{U}_{m-1}.$$

Since we proved that $T\mathcal{U}_{m-1} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}_{m-1}$ is not true, we must have $T\mathcal{U}_{m-1} = \mathcal{U}_{m-1}$. (This logical reasoning $(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q) \Rightarrow p$ is called “disjunctive syllogism.”)

Since $m \in \mathbb{K}$ we have

$$T\mathcal{U}_m \subsetneq \mathcal{U}_m.$$

Further, by definition of \mathcal{U}_{m-1} and \mathcal{U}_m , we have $\mathcal{U}_{m-1} \subsetneq \mathcal{U}_m$. Hence $T\mathcal{U}_{m-1} \subset T\mathcal{U}_m$.

Now we collect all the information that we have about \mathcal{U}_{m-1} , $T\mathcal{U}_{m-1}$, \mathcal{U}_m , $T\mathcal{U}_m$:

$$\mathcal{U}_{m-1} = T\mathcal{U}_{m-1} \subseteq T\mathcal{U}_m \subsetneq \mathcal{U}_m.$$

Using (3), for the corresponding dimensions we deduce

$$m - 1 = \dim(\mathcal{U}_{m-1}) \leq \dim(T\mathcal{U}_m) < \dim(\mathcal{U}_m) = m.$$

Since $\dim(T\mathcal{U}_m)$ is a positive integer, the preceding relation among positive integers yields

$$m - 1 = \dim(T\mathcal{U}_m).$$

Since

$$\mathcal{U}_{m-1} \subseteq T\mathcal{U}_m \quad \text{and} \quad m - 1 = \dim(\mathcal{U}_{m-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad m - 1 = \dim(T\mathcal{U}_m),$$

we deduce

$$T\mathcal{U}_m = \mathcal{U}_{m-1}.$$

Since by the definition of \mathcal{U}_m we have $v_m \in \mathcal{U}_m$, the preceding set equality yields

$$Tv_m \in \mathcal{U}_{m-1} = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}\}.$$

Thus, there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1} \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$Tv_m = \alpha_1 v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{m-1} v_{m-1}.$$

By (1), that is by the definition of $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ we have,

$$Tv_m = \sum_{k=1}^m a_{km} v_k.$$

Since the vectors v_1, \dots, v_m are linearly independent, the last two equalities imply that $a_{mm} = 0$. \square

Theorem 2 (5.41 page 157 in the textbook). *Let \mathcal{V} be a finite-dimensional vector space over a scalar field \mathbb{F} with $\dim \mathcal{V} = n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$ and assume that there exists a basis \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{V} for which the matrix $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ is upper-triangular with diagonal entries a_{jj} where $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then*

$$\sigma(T) = \{a_{jj} : j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}.$$

Proof. We proved before that $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ is an isomorphism of algebras. Therefore

$$M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T - \lambda I) = M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T) - \lambda M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(I) = M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T) - \lambda I_n.$$

Here I_n denotes the identity matrix in $\mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$. As $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T)$ and $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(I) = I_n$ are upper triangular, $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T - \lambda I)$ is upper triangular as well. Its diagonal entries are $a_{jj} - \lambda$, where $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

To prove the set equality

$$\sigma(T) = \{a_{jj} : j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}.$$

in the theorem we need to prove two inclusions.

First we prove \subseteq . Let $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$. Because λ is an eigenvalue, $T - \lambda I$ is not injective. Because $T - \lambda I$ is not injective. By Theorem 1 one of the diagonal entries of the upper triangular matrix

$$M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T - \lambda I) = M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T) - \lambda I_n$$

is zero. That is, there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $a_{ii} - \lambda = 0$. Thus $\lambda = a_{ii}$, and we proved

$$\sigma(T) \subseteq \{a_{jj} : j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}.$$

Next we prove \supseteq . Let $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ be arbitrary. Consider the matrix $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T - a_{jj}I)$. The j -th diagonal entry of the matrix

$$M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T - a_{jj}I) = M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T) - a_{jj}I_n$$

is equal to $a_{jj} - a_{jj} = 0$. By Theorem 1 the operator $T - a_{jj}I$ is not injective. This implies that a_{jj} is an eigenvalue of T . Thus $a_{jj} \in \sigma(T)$. This completes the proof. \square