

# LINEAR OPERATORS

BRANKO ČURĀUS

Throughout this note  $\mathcal{V}$  is a vector space over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$ .  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of positive integers and  $i, j, k, l, m, n, p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## 1. LINEAR OPERATORS

In this section  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  are vector spaces over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

**1.1. The definition and the vector space of all linear operators.** A function  $T : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  is said to be a *linear operator* if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$\forall u \in \mathcal{V} \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V} \quad T(u + v) = T(u) + T(v), \quad (1.1) \quad \boxed{\text{eq-add}}$$

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F} \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V} \quad T(\alpha v) = \alpha T(v). \quad (1.2) \quad \boxed{\text{eq-hom}}$$

The property (1.1) is called *additivity*, while the property (1.2) is called *homogeneity*. Together additivity and homogeneity are called *linearity*.

Denote by  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$  the set of all linear operators from  $\mathcal{V}$  to  $\mathcal{W}$ . Define the addition and scaling in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ . For  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  we define

$$(S + T)(v) = S(v) + T(v), \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (1.3) \quad \boxed{\text{eq-po+}}$$

$$(\alpha T)(v) = \alpha T(v), \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}. \quad (1.4) \quad \boxed{\text{eq-po-s}}$$

Notice that two plus signs which appear in (1.3) have different meanings. The plus sign on the left-hand side stands for the addition of linear operators that is just being defined, while the plus sign on the right-hand side stands for the addition in  $\mathcal{W}$ . Notice the analogous difference in empty spaces between  $\alpha$  and  $T$  in (1.4). Define the zero mapping in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$  to be

$$0_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})}(v) = 0_{\mathcal{W}}, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

For  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$  we define its opposite operator by

$$(-T)(v) = -T(v), \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

**Proposition 1.1.** *The set  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$  with the operations defined in (1.3), and (1.4) is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ .*

For  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$  and  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  it is customary to write  $Tv$  instead of  $T(v)$ .

**Example 1.2.** Assume that a vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  is a direct sum of its subspaces  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$ , that is  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{W}$ . Define the function  $P : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  by

$$Pv = w \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad v = u + w, \quad u \in \mathcal{U}, \quad w \in \mathcal{W}.$$

Then  $P$  is a linear operator. It is called the *projection* of  $\mathcal{V}$  onto  $\mathcal{W}$  parallel to  $\mathcal{U}$ ; it is denoted by  $P_{\mathcal{W}\parallel\mathcal{U}}$ .

The definition of the linearity of a function between vector spaces is expressed in the standard functional notation. The next proposition states that a function between vector spaces is linear if and only if its graph is a subspace of the direct product of the domain and the codomain of that function.

pr-lfsub

**Proposition 1.3.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be vector spaces over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  be a function and denote by  $F$  the graph of  $f$ ; that is let

$$F = \{(v, w) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W} : v \in \mathcal{V} \text{ and } w = f(v)\} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}.$$

The function  $f$  is linear if and only if the set  $F$  is a subspace of the vector space  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ .

pr-imsb

**Proposition 1.4.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be vector spaces over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ , let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a subspace of  $\mathcal{V}$  and let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a subspace of  $\mathcal{W}$ . Then

$$T(\mathcal{G}) = \{w \in \mathcal{W} : \exists v \in \mathcal{G} \text{ such that } w = Tv\}$$

is a subspace of  $\mathcal{W}$  and

$$T^{-1}(\mathcal{H}) = \{v \in \mathcal{V} : Tv \in \mathcal{H}\}$$

is a subspace of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

**1.2. Composition, inverse, isomorphism.** In the next two propositions we prove that the linearity is preserved under composition of linear operators and under taking the inverse of a linear operator.

**Proposition 1.5.** Let  $S : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  and  $T : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  be linear operators. The composition  $T \circ S : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  is a linear operator.

*Proof.* Prove this as an exercise.  $\square$

When composing linear operators it is customary to write simply  $TS$  instead of  $T \circ S$ .

The identity function on  $\mathcal{V}$  is denoted by  $I_{\mathcal{V}}$ . It is defined by  $I_{\mathcal{V}}(v) = v$  for all  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ . It is clearly a linear operator.

pr-inv-1

**Proposition 1.6.** Let  $T : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  be a linear operator which is a bijection. Then the inverse  $T^{-1} : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  of  $T$  is a linear operator.

*Proof.* Since  $T$  is a bijection, from what we learned about function, there exists a function  $S : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  such that  $ST = I_{\mathcal{V}}$  and  $TS = I_{\mathcal{W}}$ . Since  $T$  is linear and  $TS = I_{\mathcal{W}}$  we have

$$T(\alpha Sx + \beta Sy) = \alpha T(Sx) + \beta T(Sy) = \alpha(TS)x + \beta(TS)y = \alpha x + \beta y$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$  and all  $x, y \in \mathcal{W}$ . Applying  $S$  to both sides of

$$T(\alpha Sx + \beta Sy) = \alpha x + \beta y$$

we get

$$(ST)(\alpha Sx + \beta Sy) = S(\alpha x + \beta y) \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F} \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{W}.$$

Since  $ST = I_{\mathcal{V}}$ , we get

$$\alpha Sx + \beta Sy = S(\alpha x + \beta y) \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F} \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{W},$$

thus proving the linearity of  $S$ . Since by definition  $S = T^{-1}$  the proposition is proved.  $\square$

A linear operator  $T : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  which is a bijection is called an *isomorphism* between vector spaces  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$ .

By Proposition 1.6 each isomorphism is invertible and its inverse is also an isomorphism.

In the next theorem we introduce the most important isomorphism between a finite-dimensional space  $\mathcal{V}$  and a space  $\mathbb{F}^n$  where  $n = \dim \mathcal{V}$ .

th-cor

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ , let  $n = \dim \mathcal{V}$  and let  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  be a basis for  $\mathcal{V}$ . The function  $C_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$  defined by: for all  $v \in \mathcal{V}$*

$$C_{\mathcal{B}}(v) := \mathbf{a} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{a} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^n \quad \text{and} \quad v = \alpha_1 b_1 + \dots + \alpha_n b_n,$$

is an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathbb{F}^n$ .

It is important to point out that the formula for the inverse  $(C_{\mathcal{B}})^{-1} : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  of  $C_{\mathcal{B}}$  is given by

$$(C_{\mathcal{B}})^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j v_j, \quad \text{for all} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^n. \quad (1.5) \quad \text{eq-CB-i}$$

Notice that (1.5) defines a function from  $\mathbb{F}^n$  to  $\mathcal{V}$  even if  $\mathcal{B}$  is not a basis of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

exa-LBC

**Example 1.8.** Inspired by the definition of  $C_{\mathcal{B}}$  and (1.5) we define a general operator of this kind. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be finite dimensional,  $n = \dim \mathcal{V}$  and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a basis for  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  be any  $n$ -tuple of vectors in  $\mathcal{W}$ . The entries of an  $n$ -tuple can be repeated, they can all be equal, for example to  $0_{\mathcal{W}}$ . We define the linear operator  $L_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  by

$$L_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}(v) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j w_j \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{bmatrix} = C_{\mathcal{B}}(v). \quad (1.6) \quad \text{eq-rCA}$$

In fact,  $L_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  is a composition of  $C_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$  and the operator  $\mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_n \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j w_j \quad \text{for all} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^n. \quad (1.7) \quad \boxed{\text{eq-rC}}$$

It is easy to verify that (1.7) defines a linear operator.

Denote by  $\mathcal{E}$  the standard basis of  $\mathbb{F}^n$ , that is the basis which consists of the columns of the identity matrix  $I_n$ . Then  $C_{\mathcal{B}} = L_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $(C_{\mathcal{B}})^{-1} = L_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{E}}$ .

**Exercise 1.9.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be finite dimensional,  $n = \dim \mathcal{V}$  and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a basis for  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  be a list of vectors in  $\mathcal{W}$  with  $n$  entries.

- (a) Characterize the injectivity of  $L_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ .
- (b) Characterize the surjectivity of  $L_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ .
- (c) Characterize the bijectivity of  $L_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ .
- (d) If  $L_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  is an isomorphism, find a simple formula for  $(L_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}})^{-1}$ .

**1.3. The nullity-rank theorem.** Let  $T : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  is be a linear operator. The linearity of  $T$  implies that the set

$$\text{nul } T = \{v \in \mathcal{V} : Tv = 0_{\mathcal{W}}\}$$

is a subspace of  $\mathcal{V}$ . This subspace is called the *null space* of  $T$ . Similarly, the linearity of  $T$  implies that the range of  $T$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{W}$ . Recall that

$$\text{ran } T = \{w \in \mathcal{W} : \exists v \in \mathcal{V} \ w = Tv\}.$$

**Proposition 1.10.** *A linear operator  $T : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  is an injection if and only if  $\text{nul } T = \{0_{\mathcal{V}}\}$ .*

*Proof.* We first prove the “if” part of the proposition. Assume that  $\text{nul } T = \{0_{\mathcal{V}}\}$ . Let  $u, v \in \mathcal{V}$  be arbitrary and assume that  $Tu = Tv$ . Since  $T$  is linear,  $Tu = Tv$  implies  $T(u-v) = 0_{\mathcal{W}}$ . Consequently  $u-v \in \text{nul } T = \{0_{\mathcal{V}}\}$ . Hence,  $u-v = 0_{\mathcal{V}}$ , that is  $u = v$ . This proves that  $T$  is an injection.

To prove the “only if” part assume that  $T : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  is an injection. Let  $v \in \text{nul } T$  be arbitrary. Then  $Tv = 0_{\mathcal{W}} = T0_{\mathcal{V}}$ . Since  $T$  is injective,  $Tv = T0_{\mathcal{V}}$  implies  $v = 0_{\mathcal{V}}$ . Thus we have proved that  $\text{nul } T \subseteq \{0_{\mathcal{V}}\}$ . Since the converse inclusion is trivial, we have  $\text{nul } T = \{0_{\mathcal{V}}\}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.11** (Nullity-Rank Theorem). *Let  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be vector spaces over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $T : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  be a linear operator. If  $\mathcal{V}$  is finite dimensional, then  $\text{nul } T$  and  $\text{ran } T$  are finite dimensional and*

$$\dim(\text{nul } T) + \dim(\text{ran } T) = \dim \mathcal{V}. \quad (1.8) \quad \boxed{\text{eq-rnt}}$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathcal{V}$  is finite dimensional. We proved earlier that for an arbitrary subspace  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  there exists a subspace  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  such that

$$\mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{V} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \mathcal{U} + \dim \mathcal{X} = \dim \mathcal{V}.$$

Thus, there exists a subspace  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  such that

$$(\text{nul } T) \oplus \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{V} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim(\text{nul } T) + \dim \mathcal{X} = \dim \mathcal{V}. \quad (1.9)$$

eq-st1-rnt

Since  $\dim(\text{nul } T) + \dim \mathcal{X} = \dim \mathcal{V}$ , to prove the theorem we only need to prove that  $\dim \mathcal{X} = \dim(\text{ran } T)$ . To this end, we consider the restriction  $T|_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{ran } T$  of  $T$  to the subspace  $\mathcal{X}$ . This operator is defined by

$$T|_{\mathcal{X}}(v) = Tv \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{X}.$$

We will prove that  $T|_{\mathcal{X}}$  is an isomorphism. Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  be a basis for  $\mathcal{X}$ . To prove that  $T|_{\mathcal{X}}$  is a surjection, we will prove

$$\text{span}\{Tx_1, \dots, Tx_m\} = \text{ran } T. \quad (1.10)$$

eq-span-rnt

Clearly  $\{Tx_1, \dots, Tx_m\} \subseteq \text{ran } T$ . Consequently, since  $\text{ran } T$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{W}$ , we have  $\text{span}\{Tx_1, \dots, Tx_m\} \subseteq \text{ran } T$ . To prove the converse inclusion, let  $w \in \text{ran } T$  be arbitrary. Then, there exists  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $Tv = w$ . Since  $\mathcal{V} = (\text{nul } T) + \mathcal{X}$ , there exist  $u \in \text{nul } T$  and  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $v = u + x$ . Then  $Tv = T(u + x) = Tu + Tx = Tx$ . As  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , there exist  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $x = \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_j x_j$ . Now we use linearity of  $T$  to deduce

$$w = Tv = Tx = \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_j Tx_j.$$

This proves that  $w \in \text{span}\{Tx_1, \dots, Tx_m\}$ . Since  $w$  was arbitrary in  $\text{ran } T$  this completes a proof of (1.10).

Next we prove that the vectors  $Tx_1, \dots, Tx_m$  are linearly independent. Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{F}$  be arbitrary and assume that

$$\alpha_1 Tx_1 + \dots + \alpha_m Tx_m = 0_{\mathcal{W}}. \quad (1.11)$$

eq-li-rnt

Since  $T$  is linear (1.11) implies that

$$\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_m x_m \in \text{nul } T. \quad (1.12)$$

eq-li2-rnt

Recall that  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in cX$  and  $\mathcal{X}$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{V}$ , so

$$\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_m x_m \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (1.13)$$

eq-li3-rnt

Now (1.12), (1.13) and the fact that  $(\text{nul } T) \cap \mathcal{X} = \{0_{\mathcal{V}}\}$  imply

$$\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_m x_m = 0_{\mathcal{V}}. \quad (1.14)$$

eq-li4-rnt

Since  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  are linearly independent (1.14) yields  $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_m = 0$ . This completes a proof of the linear independence of  $Tx_1, \dots, Tx_m$ .

Thus  $\{Tx_1, \dots, Tx_m\}$  is a basis for  $\text{ran } T$ . Consequently  $\dim(\text{ran } T) = m$ . Since  $m = \dim \mathcal{X}$ , (1.9) implies (1.8). This completes the proof.  $\square$

A direct proof of the Nullity-Rank Theorem is as follows:

*Proof.* Since  $\text{nul } T$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{V}$  it is finite dimensional. Set  $k = \dim(\text{nul } T)$  and let  $\mathcal{C} = \{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$  be a basis for  $\text{nul } T$ .

Since  $\mathcal{V}$  is finite dimensional there exists a finite set  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{V}$  such that  $\text{span}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{V}$ . Then the set  $T\mathcal{F}$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{W}$  and  $\text{ran } T =$

$\text{span}(T\mathcal{F})$ . Thus  $\text{ran } T$  is finite dimensional. Let  $\dim(\text{ran } T) = m$  and let  $\mathcal{E} = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$  be a basis of  $\text{ran } T$ .

Since clearly for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $w_j \in \text{ran } T$ , we have that for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  there exists  $v_j \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $Tv_j = w_j$ . Set  $\mathcal{D} = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ .

Further set  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{D}$ .

We will prove the following three facts:

- (I)  $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{D} = \emptyset$ ,
- (II)  $\text{span } \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{V}$ ,
- (III)  $\mathcal{B}$  is a linearly independent set.

To prove (I), notice that the vectors in  $\mathcal{E}$  are nonzero, since  $\mathcal{E}$  is linearly independent. Therefore, for every  $v \in \mathcal{D}$  we have that  $Tv \neq 0_{\mathcal{W}}$ . Since for every  $u \in \mathcal{C}$  we have  $Tu = 0_{\mathcal{W}}$  we conclude that  $u \in \mathcal{C}$  implies  $u \notin \mathcal{D}$ . This proves (I).

To prove (II), first notice that by the definition of  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{V}$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}$  is a vector space, we have  $\text{span } \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ .

To prove the converse inclusion, let  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  be arbitrary. Then  $Tv \in \text{ran } T$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}$  spans  $\text{ran } T$ , there exist  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$Tv = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j w_j.$$

Set

$$v' = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j v_j.$$

Then, by linearity of  $T$  we have

$$Tv' = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j Tv_j = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j w_j = Tv.$$

The last equality yields and the linearity of  $T$  yield  $T(v - v') = 0_{\mathcal{W}}$ . Consequently,  $v - v' \in \text{nul } T$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  spans  $\text{nul } T$ , there exist  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$v - v' = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i.$$

Consequently,

$$v = v' + \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i + \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j v_j.$$

This proves that for arbitrary  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  we have  $v \in \text{span } \mathcal{B}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \text{span } \mathcal{B}$  and (II) is proved.

To prove (III) let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in \mathbb{F}$  be arbitrary and assume that

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j u_j + \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j v_j = 0_{\mathcal{V}}. \quad (1.15)$$

eq-assu-4-1-i

Applying  $T$  to both sides of the last equality, and using the fact that  $u_i \in \text{nul } T$  and the definition of  $v_j$  we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j w_j = 0_{\mathcal{W}}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is a linearly independent set the last equality implies that  $\beta_j = 0$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Now substitute these equalities in (1.15) to get

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j u_j = 0_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a linearly independent set the last equality implies that  $\alpha_i = 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . This proves the linear independence of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

It follows from (II) and (III) that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{V}$ . By (I) we have that  $|\mathcal{B}| = |\mathcal{C}| + |\mathcal{D}| = k + m$ . This completes proof of the theorem.  $\square$

The nonnegative integer  $\dim(\text{nul } T)$  is called the *nullity* of  $T$ ; the nonnegative integer  $\dim(\text{ran } T)$  is called the *rank* of  $T$ .

The nullity-rank theorem in English reads: If a linear operator is defined on a finite dimensional vector space, then its nullity and its rank are finite and they add up to the dimension of the domain.

**Proposition 1.12.** *Let  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{V}$  is finite dimensional. The following statements are equivalent*

- (a) *There exists a surjection  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ .*
- (b)  *$\mathcal{W}$  is finite dimensional and  $\dim \mathcal{V} \geq \dim \mathcal{W}$ .*

**Proposition 1.13.** *Let  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{V}$  is finite dimensional. The following statements are equivalent*

- (a) *There exists an injection  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ .*
- (b) *Either  $\mathcal{W}$  is infinite dimensional or  $\dim \mathcal{V} \leq \dim \mathcal{W}$ .*

**Proposition 1.14.** *Let  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{V}$  is finite dimensional. The following statements are equivalent*

- (a) *There exists an isomorphism  $T : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ .*
- (b)  *$\mathcal{W}$  is finite dimensional and  $\dim \mathcal{V} = \dim \mathcal{W}$ .*

**1.4. Isomorphism between  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$  and  $\mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$ .** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be finite dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $m = \dim \mathcal{V}$ ,  $n = \dim \mathcal{W}$ , let  $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  be a basis for  $\mathcal{V}$  and let  $\mathcal{C} = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  be a basis for  $\mathcal{W}$ . The mapping  $C_{\mathcal{B}}$  provides an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathbb{F}^m$  and  $C_{\mathcal{C}}$  provides an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{W}$  and  $\mathbb{F}^n$ .

Recall that the simplest way to define a linear operator from  $\mathbb{F}^m$  to  $\mathbb{F}^n$  is to use an  $n \times m$  matrix  $B$ . It is convenient to consider an  $n \times m$  matrix to be an  $m$ -tuple of its columns, which are vectors in  $\mathbb{F}^n$ . For example, let  $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m \in \mathbb{F}^n$  be columns of an  $n \times m$  matrix  $B$ . Then we write

$$B = [\mathbf{b}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{b}_m].$$

This notation is convenient since it allows us to write a multiplication of a vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^m$  by a matrix  $B$  as

$$B\mathbf{x} = \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_j \mathbf{b}_j \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi_m \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1.16) \quad \text{eq-defBx}$$

Notice the similarity of the definition in (1.16) to the definition (1.6) of the operator  $L_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}$  in Example 1.8. Taking  $\mathcal{B}$  to be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{F}^m$  and taking  $\mathcal{C}$  to be the  $m$ -tuple given by  $B$ , we have  $L_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{x}) = B\mathbf{x}$ .

Let  $T : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  be a linear operator. Our next goal is to connect  $T$  in a natural way to a certain  $n \times m$  matrix  $B$ . That “natural way” is suggested by following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V} & \xrightarrow{T} & \mathcal{W} \\ \downarrow C_{\mathcal{B}} & & \downarrow C_{\mathcal{C}} \\ \mathbb{F}^m & \xrightarrow{B} & \mathbb{F}^n \end{array}$$

We seek an  $n \times m$  matrix  $B$  such that the action of  $T$  between  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  is in some sense replicated by the action of  $B$  between  $\mathbb{F}^m$  and  $\mathbb{F}^n$ . Precisely, we seek  $B$  such that

$$C_{\mathcal{C}}(Tv) = B(C_{\mathcal{B}}(v)) \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}. \quad (1.17) \quad \text{eq-cdB}$$

In English: multiplying the vector of coordinates of  $v$  by  $B$  we get exactly the coordinates of  $Tv$ .

Using the basis vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \mathcal{B}$  in (1.17) we see that the matrix

$$B = [C_{\mathcal{C}}(Tv_1) \ \cdots \ C_{\mathcal{C}}(Tv_m)] \quad (1.18) \quad \text{eq-defB}$$

has the desired property (1.17).

For an arbitrary  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$  the formula (1.18) associates the matrix  $B \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$  with  $T$ . In other words (1.18) defines a function from  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$  to  $\mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$ .

th-MatR

**Theorem 1.15.** *Let  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be finite dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $m = \dim \mathcal{V}$ ,  $n = \dim \mathcal{W}$ , let  $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  be a basis for  $\mathcal{V}$  and let  $\mathcal{C} = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  be a basis for  $\mathcal{W}$ . The function*

$$M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$$

defined by

$$M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T) = [C_{\mathcal{C}}(Tv_1) \cdots C_{\mathcal{C}}(Tv_m)], \quad T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \quad (1.19) \quad \text{eq-defM}$$

is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* It is easy to verify that  $M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}$  is a linear operator.

Since the definition of  $M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T)$  coincides with (1.18), equality (1.17) yields

$$C_{\mathcal{C}}(Tv) = (M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T))C_{\mathcal{B}}(v). \quad (1.20) \quad \text{eq-cdMBC}$$

The most direct way to prove that  $M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}$  is an isomorphism is to construct its inverse. The inverse is suggested by the diagram (1.21).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V} & \xrightarrow{\text{---} T \text{---}} & \mathcal{W} \\ C_{\mathcal{B}} \downarrow & & \uparrow (C_{\mathcal{C}})^{-1} \\ \mathbb{F}^m & \xrightarrow{B} & \mathbb{F}^n \end{array} \quad (1.21) \quad \text{cd-rT}$$

Define

$$N_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}} : \mathbb{F}^{n \times m} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$$

by

$$(N_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}(B))(v) = (C_{\mathcal{C}})^{-1}(B(C_{\mathcal{B}}(v))), \quad B \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}. \quad (1.22) \quad \text{eq-defN}$$

Next we prove that

$$N_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}} \circ M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}} = I_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}} \circ N_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}} = I_{\mathbb{F}^{n \times m}}.$$

First for arbitrary  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$  and arbitrary  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} ((N_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}} \circ M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}})(T))(v) &= (C_{\mathcal{C}})^{-1}((M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T))(C_{\mathcal{B}}(v))) && \text{by (1.22)} \\ &= (C_{\mathcal{C}})^{-1}(C_{\mathcal{C}}(Tv)) && \text{by (1.20)} \\ &= Tv. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(N_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}} \circ M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}})(T) = T$  and thus, since  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$  was arbitrary,  $N_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}} \circ M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}} = I_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})}$ .

Let now  $B \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$  be arbitrary and calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}} \circ N_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}})(B) &= M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}(N_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}(B)) \\ &= [C_{\mathcal{C}}((N_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}(B))(v_1)) \cdots C_{\mathcal{C}}((N_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}(B))(v_m))] && \text{by (1.19)} \\ &= [B(C_{\mathcal{B}}(v_1)) \cdots B(C_{\mathcal{B}}(v_m))] && \text{by (1.22)} \\ &= B[C_{\mathcal{B}}(v_1) \cdots C_{\mathcal{B}}(v_m)] && \text{matrix mult.} \\ &= B I_m && \text{def. of } C_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &= B. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}} \circ N_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}})(B) = B$  for all  $B \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$ , proving that  $M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}} \circ N_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}} = I_{\mathbb{F}^{n \times m}}$ .

This completes the proof that  $M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}$  is a bijection. Since it is linear,  $M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

th-MTS

**Theorem 1.16.** *Let  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be finite dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $k = \dim \mathcal{U}$ ,  $m = \dim \mathcal{V}$ ,  $n = \dim \mathcal{W}$ , let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a basis for  $\mathcal{U}$ , let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a basis for  $\mathcal{V}$ , and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a basis for  $\mathcal{W}$ . Let  $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ . Let  $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{A}}(S) \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times k}$ ,  $M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T) \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$  and  $M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{A}}(TS) \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times k}$  be as defined in Theorem 1.15. Then*

$$M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{A}}(TS) = M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T)M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{A}}(S).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$  and calculate

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{A}}(TS) &= [C_{\mathcal{C}}(TSu_1) \ \cdots \ C_{\mathcal{C}}(TSu_k)] && \text{by (1.19)} \\ &= [M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T)(C_{\mathcal{B}}(Su_1)) \ \cdots \ M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T)(C_{\mathcal{B}}(Su_k))] && \text{by (1.20)} \\ &= M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T)[C_{\mathcal{B}}(Su_1) \ \cdots \ C_{\mathcal{B}}(Su_k)] && \text{matrix mult.} \\ &= M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T)M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{A}}(S). && \text{by (1.19)} \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

The following diagram illustrates the content of Theorem 1.16.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{V} & & \\ & \nearrow S & \downarrow TS & \searrow T & \\ \mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{W} \\ & \downarrow C_{\mathcal{A}} & \downarrow C_{\mathcal{B}} & & \downarrow C_{\mathcal{C}} \\ & & \mathbb{F}^m & & \\ & \nearrow M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{A}}(S) & \searrow M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T) & & \\ \mathbb{F}^k & \xrightarrow{\quad} & & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{F}^n \\ & & M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{A}}(TS) = M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{B}}(T)M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{A}}(S) & & \end{array}$$

## 2. PROBLEMS

**Problem 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be vector spaces over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a subspace of the direct product vector space  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ , let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a subspace of  $\mathcal{V}$  and let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a subspace of  $\mathcal{W}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{G}) = \{w \in \mathcal{W} : \exists v \in \mathcal{G} \text{ such that } (v, w) \in \mathcal{S}\}$$

is a subspace of  $\mathcal{W}$  and

$$\mathcal{S}^{-1}(\mathcal{H}) = \{v \in \mathcal{V} : \exists w \in \mathcal{H} \text{ such that } (v, w) \in \mathcal{S}\}$$

is a subspace of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

**Problem 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be finite-dimensional vector spaces over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a subspace of the direct product vector space  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ . The following four sets are subspaces

$$\text{dom } \mathcal{S} = \{v \in \mathcal{V} : \exists w \in \mathcal{W} \text{ such that } (v, w) \in \mathcal{S}\},$$

$$\text{ran } \mathcal{S} = \{w \in \mathcal{W} : \exists v \in \mathcal{V} \text{ such that } (v, w) \in \mathcal{S}\},$$

$$\text{nul } \mathcal{S} = \{v \in \mathcal{V} : (v, 0_{\mathcal{W}}) \in \mathcal{S}\},$$

$$\text{mul } \mathcal{S} = \{w \in \mathcal{W} : (0_{\mathcal{V}}, w) \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

and the following equality holds:

$$\dim \text{dom } \mathcal{S} + \dim \text{mul } \mathcal{S} = \dim \text{ran } \mathcal{S} + \dim \text{nul } \mathcal{S}.$$

Hint: The following equivalence holds. For all  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  and all  $w \in \mathcal{W}$  we have:

$$(v, w) \in \mathcal{S} \iff (v + x, w + y) \in \mathcal{S} \quad \forall x \in \text{nul } \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \forall y \in \text{mul } \mathcal{S}.$$

pb-rev

**Problem 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be finite-dimensional vector spaces over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  and recall that  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$  and  $\mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{V}$  are the direct product vector spaces. Prove that the function

$$R : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{V}$$

defined by

$$R(v, w) = (w, v) \quad \text{for all } (v, w) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$$

is an isomorphism.

**Problem 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be finite-dimensional vector spaces over a scalar field  $\mathbb{F}$  and recall that  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$  and  $\mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{V}$  are the direct product vector spaces. Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a subset of  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  if and only if the set

$$\{(w, v) \in \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{V} : (v, w) \in \mathcal{T}\} = R\mathcal{T}$$

is an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{W}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$ . (Use Problem 2.3 and Propositions 1.3 and 1.4 to prove this equivalence.)